1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 11 Case No. 3:18-cy-00021-LRH-VPC SONOMA SPRINGS LIMITED 12 PARTNERSHIP, a Nevada limited partnership and SONOMA SPRINGS ASSOCIATES, 13 LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE AN OPPOSITION 14 Plaintiffs. (FIRST REQUEST) 15 FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF 16 MARYLAND, a Maryland Corporation and AND **ORDER** THEREON ZURICH AMÉRICAN INSURANCE 17 COMPANY OF ILLINOIS, a Maryland Corporation and DOES 1-20, inclusive, 18 Defendants. 19 20 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiffs, SONOMA SPRINGS 21 22 23

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiffs, SONOMA SPRINGS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP and SONOMA SPRINGS ASSOCIATES, LLC (hereinafter collectively "Plaintiffs"), by and through their counsel of record, JAMES W. PUZEY, ESQ. and AUDREY DAMONTE, ESQ., of HOLLEY, DRIGGS, WALCH, FINE, WRAY, PUZEY & THOMPSON, and Defendants, FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND, and ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY OF ILLINOIS, (hereinafter collectively "Defendants"), by and through their counsel of record DAVID W. SLAUGHTER, ESQ., of

24

25

26

27

28

 SNOW CHRISTENSEN & MARTINEAU Admitted Pro Hac Vice, and RYAN W. LEARY, ESQ., of LAXALT & NOMURA, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. Defendants' filed a Motion to Stay Case Pending Outcome of Litigation in Humboldt County, Nevada and Supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities on March 5, 2018 [ECF No. 30].
- 2. The response to the pending Motion to Stay Case Pending Outcome of Litigation in Humboldt County, Nevada was stayed until May 14, 2018, if, a global settlement was unable to be reached. [ECF No. 38].
- 3. On April 30, 2018 all parties attended a global settlement in an attempt to settle this case and the matter pending in the Sixth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada: *Ascent Construction Inc.*, v. Sonoma Springs Limited Partnership, et al., Case No. CV21,053.
- 4. The reason for the extension of time in which for Plaintiffs' to file their Opposition to Defendants' Motion is that the parties were unable to reach a settlement and additional time is needed to address the issues relating to a requested stay and how it will affect the state court case.
- Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Stay Case Pending Outcome of Litigation in Humboldt County, Nevada and Supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities is currently due on May 14, 2018.
- 6. The undersigned hereby stipulate to an extension of time to and including June 4, 2018, for the Plaintiffs to file their Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Stay Case Pending Outcome of Litigation in Humboldt County, Nevada and Supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities.

|| ///

///

1	7. That there have been no previous requests for an extension in which to file	
2	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Stay Case Pending Outcome of Litigation in	
3	Humboldt County, Nevada and Supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities.	
4	8. That this Stipulation is not brought for the purpose of delay and counsel hereby	
5	certifies that this Stipulation is made with the knowledge and consent of their clients.	
6	HOLLEY, DRIGGS, WALCH, FINE,	SNOW, CHRISTENSEN & MARTINEAU
7	WRAY, PUZEY & THOMPSON	
8	_/s/ AUDREY DAMONTE, ESQ	/s/ DAVID W. SLAUGHTER, ESQ
9	JAMES W. PUZEY, ESQ.	DAVID W. SLAUGHTER, ESQ.
10	Nevada Bar No. 5745	Admitted Pro Hac Vice
	AUDREY DAMONTE, ESQ.	10 Exchange Place, 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor
11	Nevada Bar No.4244	Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
12	800 S. Meadows Parkway Suite 800	Attorneys for Defendants
13	Reno, Nevada 89521	LAXALT & NOMURA, LTD.
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	RYAN W. LEARY, ESQ.
14		9600 Gateway Drive
15	Dated: May 11, 2018	Reno, Nevada 89521
		Attorneys for Defendants
16		Dated: May 11, 2018
17		
18		
19		
20	ORDER	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED, nunc pro tunc.	
22	DATED this 14th day ofMay, 2018.	
23	4//-/	
24	Manh	
25		LARRY R. HICKS
26		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
-0		